



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/617,488	07/11/2003	Christopher J. Shapanus	085455-9101-00	4306	
23409 7	590 01/12/2005		EXAMINER		
	EST & FRIEDRICH, NSIN AVENUE	LLP	NICOLAS, FR	REDERICK C	
MILWAUKEE, WI 53202			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		3754	-	

DATE MAILED: 01/12/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<u> </u>				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/617,488	SHAPANUS ET AL.			
Office I	Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Frederick C. Nicolas	3754			
The MAILII Period for Reply	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive	1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>11 July 2003</u> .					
2a) This action	s FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.	•			
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claim	s ·					
4a) Of the al 5)	65 is/are pending in the application. bove claim(s) is/are withdray is/are allowed is/are rejected is/are objected to. 65 are subject to restriction and/or expressions.	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
'	declaration is objected to by the Ex		` '			
Priority under 35 U.S	S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
	on's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) re Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa				

Application/Control Number: 10/617,488 Page 2

Art Unit: 3754

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-30, drawn to a portable back pack fluid dispenser, classified in class 222, subclass 175.
 - II. Claims 31-39, drawn to a battery pack, classified in class 320, subclass112.
 - III. Claims 40-65, drawn to an electrical backpack fluid dispenser, classified in class 222, subclass 333.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the invention of Group II does not have to be used with the invention of Group I and vice versa. The battery pack can be used in a materially different product such as a battery pack for a radio.
- 3. Inventions III and I are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the combination is silent to the

Application/Control Number: 10/617,488

Art Unit: 3754

specifics of the dispensing tube and the conduit, as evidenced in claim 1. The subcombination has separate utility such as a portable water bottle for a jogger.

- 4. Inventions II and III are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention II discloses a battery pack, which has separate utility such as a battery pack for a radio. Invention III discloses an electrical backpack fluid dispenser, which has separate utility such as a portable water bottle for a jogger. See MPEP § 806.05(d).
- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. A telephone call was made to the applicants' attorney Mr. Christopher B. Austin on 1/7/2005 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Frederick C. Nicolas whose telephone number is (571)-272-4931. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 9:00 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Y Mar can be reached on (571)-272-4906. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

FN January 10, 2005

Frederick C. Nicolas Patent Examiner

Art Unit 3754